

Date: Tue, 6 Apr 93 15:09:21 PDT
From: Info-Hams Mailing List and Newsgroup <info-hams@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Info-Hams-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Info-Hams Digest V93 #422
To: Info-Hams

Today's Topics:

1rst Amendment rights & Ham Radio. (was: Another 3rd Party Question)

Another 3rd Party Question

Any info an the Kenwood TS-50S mobile HF transceiver?

Apple Packet Radio (2 msgs)

celebrate Liberty! 19

Couple questions...

DX 'n stuff

IC-P2AT mods wanted

CC-W2A Power Con

Missing Digests

question: What is

Please help. PK-232 vs KAM

radio.reorg won't work, an

TM742A dual band receiver

Worked_Him???? (2 msgs)

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Info-Hams@UCSD.E

Send subscription requests to: <Info-Hams-REQUEST@UCS>

Archives of past issues of the Info-Hams Digest are available

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official position of the American Medical Association.

Date: 5 Apr 83 05:53:28 GMT

Date: 3 Apr 95 05:55:28 AM
From: bobshbox!rent!com!s!mis!kc2wz!bob@rutgers.rutgers.edu

Subject: 1st Amendment rights & Ham Radio (was: Another 3rd Party Question)

Subject: 11st Amendment
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

gary@ke4zy.wicp (Gary Coffman) says:

guy

>There are no US rules against discussing politics on ham radio. The *ARRL*
>discourages you from discussing controversial issues on ham radio, but
>that's just because they're a bunch of old fogeys who don't want flame
>wars to erupt on the bands. :-)

Flame wars over who was on the frequency first, license class, who was
licensed longer, who heard the DX station first, etc. are all okay just
stay away from the touchy topics of politics and religion.

:-) :-) (for the humor-impaired)

--
Bob Billson, KC2WZ | internet: bob@kc2wz.bubble.org
\$mail: 21 Bates Way, Westfield, NJ 07090 | uucp:!uunet!kc2wz!bob

"Friends don't let friends run DOS" -- Microware

Date: 4 Apr 93 17:30:43 EDT
From: psinntp!arrl.org@uunet.uu.net
Subject: Another 3rd Party Question
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Hi Charlie,

The reason we don't have third party agreements with
European countries is because their telecommunications
organizations have monopolies on their telephone systems
and such. The policy of the State Department is that
the US will be glad to enter into a third party agreement with
any country, but that particular country must first
approach the US with its intentions. Typically, the US benefits more
from the presence of such an agreement due to the large numbers of
amateurs in this country. 73.

John

John Hennessee KJ4KB
ARRL Regulatory Information Specialist
225 Main St
Newington CT 06111
203 666-1541
jhenness@arrl.org

Date: Mon, 5 Apr 1993 19:59:45 GMT

From: usc!howland.reston.ans.net!ux1.cso.uiuc.edu!news.cso.uiuc.edu!
uxa.cso.uiuc.edu!pereckas@network.UCSD.EDU
Subject: Any info on the Kenwood TS-50S mobile HF transceiver?
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

I've seen some ads for the Kenwood TS-50S HF transceiver. The ad claims that it is the smallest HF transceiver. Apparently it is about the size of the typical VHF/UHF mobile transceiver. Does anyone know what bands it covers, and the power? Has anyone seen or used one?

thanks,

(passed the tests, still waiting for my call)

--
Michael Pereckas pereckas@uxa.cso.uiuc.edu

Date: Mon, 5 Apr 1993 12:12:29 GMT
From: munnari.oz.au!constellation!darkside!okcforum.osrhe.edu!
dsdd@network.UCSD.EDU
Subject: Apple Packet Radio
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

>>I am looking for Apple // Programs SPECIFICALLY for packet..
>especially
>>one called Apple Packet Radio.. If anyone knows where i can find
>one.. ie
>>PD or commercial.. I am interested..

>Virtuoso is such a program it can be found on sumex-aim.stanford.edu
>in the /info-mac/app/virtuosox.hqx

Don't you hate it when this happens?

Someone's asking for an Apple II program, and some loser gives him a Mac Reference... Isn't this fun.

Roy (We really get good support now, eh? :)

Date: 6 Apr 93 19:58:59 GMT
From: usc!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!uwm.edu!ogicse!news.tek.com!tekgen!
brucec@network.UCSD.EDU
Subject: Apple Packet Radio
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <C50F8t.5G6@darkside.osrhe.uoknor.edu> dsdd@okcforum.osrhe.edu (Roy Barrett) writes:

>

>Don't you hate it when this happens?

>

>Someone's asking for an Apple II program, and some loser gives him a Mac
>Reference... Isn't this fun.

>

>Roy (We really get good support now, eh? :)

Roy, this seems like an honest mistake, at least the guy tried. I've posted requests for Mac software info, and then gotten flamed from the "DOS-hole" crowd. I've also asked "Has anyone heard of XXXX?" and gotten replies that say "Yes." I then have to email back an excruciatingly specific message detailing the exact info that I wanted. But, hey, I deserved it! I should have been exact in the first place.

Bruce Cheney N17M Sherwood, OR

Date: Tue, 6 Apr 1993 08:00:12 GMT
From: mcsun!news.funet.fi!ousrvr.oulu.fi!vttoulu.tko.vtt.fi!dfo@uunet.uu.net
Subject: Celebrate Liberty! 1993
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Bob.Waldrop send the referred to message to a lot of apparently inappropriate groups. If you consider them such, maybe he would appreciate learning that.

>Lines: 323

>

>Announcing. . . Announcing. . . Announcing. . . Announcing. . . Announcing. . .

>

> CELEBRATE LIBERTY!

> 1993 LIBERTARIAN PARTY NATIONAL CONVENTION

> AND POLITICAL EXPLOITATION

>

- -

doug foxvog
dfo@tko.vtt.fi

Date: 5 Apr 1993 23:24:02 GMT

From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!overload.lbl.gov!agate!usenet.ins.cwru.edu!odin!
brown@network.UCSD.EDU

Subject: Couple questions...
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

First... is the call sign server at Buffalo going to be updated soon?
I believe that it has been since last summer some time...

Second... Anyone have a copy of a service manual for a Kenwood TH-21AT??

Third... anyone know about how far the FCC is with assigning calls? I
just pasted my tech test about a week ago, and am wondering if I am going
to be an n8??? or a K?8???

Later.
Dan

--
Dan Brown
brown@ins.cwru.edu

Date: Tue, 6 Apr 1993 13:53:11 GMT
From: sdd.hp.com!hpscit.sc.hp.com!hplextra!hpcc05!hpwrce!tonyz@network.UCSD.EDU
Subject: DX 'n stuff
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Bravo! My sympathies are also with the original poster.
Unless you are into bantering about how many cards are on your wall
(and after a while, you get so many they go into a cardboard box
anyway!) WHO CARES? You know you worked the station...and
I agree...get in and get out... if you DO want that card, then
attempt to listen for his call....I have spent upwards of a hour
trying to get that weak call sign out of the mud. I see nothing
wrong with attempting a post here to see if you can get it that way.

Sheesh... reminds me of operators who wont talk to you unless your
audio is of recording studio quality.

TUNE FOR MAXIMUM SMOKE!

tony

Date: Mon, 5 Apr 1993 06:49:15 GMT
From: sdd.hp.com!hpscit.sc.hp.com!hplextra!hpcc05!hpbbn!hpbbrd!
ulki@network.UCSD.EDU

Subject: IC-P2AT mods wanted
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Hi,

does anyone have the mods for the IC-P2AT to get extended RX and TX ?

Please email to ulki@hpbbn.bbn.hp.com.

Thanks a lot,

Ulli

Ulrich Kienzle (ulki@hpbbn.bbn.hp.com or dl1sbl@db0sao)
Hewlett-Packard Mechanical Design Division R&D, Boeblingen, Germany
Phone: +49 7031 14 2893 FAX: +49 7031 14 2049

Date: Tue, 6 Apr 1993 03:16:00 GMT
From: psinntp!panix!oppedahl@uunet.uu.net
Subject: ICOM IC-W2A Power Connector
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In <1993Apr2.091119.2040@dxcern.cern.ch> frode@dxcern.cern.ch (Frode Weierud) writes:

>Recently somebody were looking for the special power connector
>for the IC-W2. A friend of mine is going over to US next week
>and I would like him to get me a few of these connectors. I
>think the price mentioned was \$ 7, but does anybody know the
>part number?

You mean the IC-W2A, right? Then the plug is OPC-288.

--
Carl Oppedahl AA2KW (intellectual property lawyer)
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, NY 10112-0228
voice 212-408-2578 fax 212-765-2519

Date: 6 Apr 93 05:28:47 GMT
From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu
Subject: Missing Digests

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

As of 0100 6 April still no Info-Hams digests since #401.
TCP, packet and Ham-Policy are being delivered. please check.
Bob ND2L fmsa018@MONMOUTH-EMH3.ARMY.MIL

Date: Mon, 29 Mar 1993 09:27:53 GMT
From: pipex!sunic!aun.uninett.no!nuug!swing!ddm3!magne@uunet.uu.net
Subject: Newbie question: What is iambic?
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Kevin Purcell, Rho (a-kevinp@microsoft.COM) wrote:

: To send a C: squeeze both paddles together; make sure the dah paddle
: (normally the thumb/left hand paddle) closes first; hold till you get
: the letter out.

: didahdidah.

Hmm, is this the new U.S morse standard? In Europe we have a tendency to
use dahdidahdi when we want to send a "C" :-)

73 de Magne la1bfa magne@statoil.no

Date: 5 Apr 93 21:48:00 GMT
From: elroy.jpl.nasa.gov!swrinde!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!ub!acsu.buffalo.edu!
ubvmsb.cc.buffalo.edu!v111qheg@decwrl.dec.com
Subject: Please help. PK-232 vs KAM
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

OK. I know this question was solved years ago on the net, but I wasn't
around then so dont flame me.

I am sick ad tired of my PK-232MBX's noise problem, and I am not
about to go soldering right next to IC's, so I've decided to ditch my PK-232.
Has anyone had any problems with RF from their KAM or newer PK-232 tearing
up their HF's front end? Does anyone have any negative experiences with the
KAM? How about newer '232's?

Thanks for any responses, as all help is appreciated. 73,

Peter KB2NMV

Date: Mon, 05 Apr 93 21:05:08 PDT
From: cs.ubc.ca!mala.bc.ca!oneb!ham!emd@beaver.cs.washington.edu
Subject: Rec.radio.reorg won't work, anyway.
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

bobw@col.hp.com (Bob Witte) writes:

> emd@ham.almanac.bc.ca writes:
> [stuff deleted]
> >
> > don't believe me, fine. Go and look at r.r.policy and see how
> > many articles were ONLY posted to policy. Find any?
> >
> Yes.

Big deal. How many? What percentage do they form of the overall postings?
I'll bet you it's less than 20%.

Robert Smits
VE7EMD
Ladysmith B.C.
e-mail: emd@ham.almanac.bc.ca

There is *no* idiotproof filter.
Idiots are proof against anything!
- Richard Chycoski, VE7CVS

Date: 5 Apr 93 09:07:10 CST
From: usc!howland.reston.ans.net!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!caen!
kuhub.cc.ukans.edu!baxter@network.UCSD.EDU
Subject: TM742A dual band receive
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

I'm looking at the new Kenwood TM-742A dual bander, and have some conflicting information, that others here on the net may be able to help with.

I've been told by others that this new dual bander is capable of having both bands tuned to 2-meters or both tuned to 440 (like the 732A). I spent some time looking through the reference manual at one of our local ham stores this weekend, and I could find nothing that says this is possible.

Is it or isn't it?

For those that own the radio, are there any other undocumented features, or goodies like the 741A had?

Thanks,
Kirk Baxter, N0FPZ

Date: Mon, 5 Apr 1993 23:16:30 GMT
From: pa.dec.com!nntpd2.cxo.dec.com!32799.enet.dec.com!yanagi@decwrl.dec.com
Subject: Worked Him????
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

> > Wow. I've heard disagreement on what constitutes a legit contact
> > before but this is the first time I've heard someone claim
> > that you don't even have to copy the other station's callsign.
> > Sorry, dude.
>

So, what about all the DX that's packet-spotted? You know the call sign before you even hear the station - So, as a general question, are you sure that you could copy his call in all cases without this prior knowledge?

Just a thought...

John N2KJM
yanagi@njo.dec.com

Date: Mon, 5 Apr 1993 17:01:27 GMT
From: usc!sdd.hp.com!col.hp.com!fc.hp.com!jayk@network.UCSD.EDU
Subject: Worked Him????
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

: Jeff, sorry to tell ya, but if you were to try that on a dx net, or
: if the guys at DXCC knew, wrong, you wouldnt get credit for the contact.

Warning: Soap box alert

Actually on a DX net the net control will give the other stations call anyway so you know what it is already. Of course you don't have to get through to the DX in a pileup just the net control. And of course if you or the DX station gets the report wrong the net control will tell you and you get to try again. Real DX huh. Fish in a barrel.

: For a valid contact, you HAVE to receive the guys callsign.

This seems obvious to me. Guess I'm a nitpicker! Sheesh

73, Jay KOGU

jayk@fc.hp.com

Date: Tue, 6 Apr 1993 01:18:34 GMT
From: sdd.hp.com!col.hp.com!news.dtc.hp.com!srgenprp!alanb@network.UCSD.EDU
Subject: ZEPP antennas: How do they work ?
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Steve Wright (Steve_Wright@kcbbs.gen.nz) wrote:

:
: Well, I gave up looking at the feed on my J-pole antenna. I understand how the
: quarter-wave stub matches to the voltage-fed, high-impedance half-wave
: radiator, but looking at how the coax is attached, there *MUST* be RF forced
: back down the outer of the coax ???
:
Exactly correct. Contrary to popular opinion, there is nothing magic
about the matching stub as far as decoupling RF currents from the feedline
is concerned. The J-pole's high impedance feedpoint does tend to limit
feedline current somewhat, but a 1/4-wave ground plane with 4 radials
does a better job. About 10 dB better, according to some backyard tests
we did awhile back.

AL N1AL

Date: Mon, 5 Apr 1993 19:33:35 GMT
From: newsflash.concordia.ca!mizar.cc.umanitoba.ca!uumars@uunet.uu.net
Subject: ZEPP antennas: How do they work ?
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <1029395.8005.8511@kcbbs.gen.nz> you write:
>Well, I gave up looking at the feed on my J-pole antenna. I understand how the
>quarter-wave stub matches to the voltage-fed, high-impedance half-wave
>radiator, but looking at how the coax is attached, there *MUST* be RF forced
>back down the outer of the coax ???
>
>But I've seen these antennas used in repeater applications where there must be
>_no_ RF on the screen.
>
>What am I missing ?

A choke or other RF suppressing device. Try adding 4-5 2-inch(?) diameter
loops a quarter-wave down from the feed point or I think that a ferrite
bead at the feed point might also work.

>
>Steve - ZL1BHD
>

73

Michael VE4MJM

Technical Manager, U of Manitoba Amateur Radio Society, VE4UM VE4UMR
uumars@ccu.umanitoba.ca

Date: Mon, 5 Apr 93 15:46:07 GMT

From: cs.ubc.ca!unixg.ubc.ca!kakwa.ucs.ualberta.ca!alberta!adec23!

mark@beaver.cs.washington.edu

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <1osnf2INNsc@hp-col.col.hp.com>, <1993Mar29.212953.24656@ve6mgs.ampr.org>, <1p9u45\$8vd@network.ucsd.edu>
Subject : Re: RFD: reorganization of rec.radio.amateur

brian@ucsd.edu (Brian Kantor) writes:

>mark@ve6mgs.ampr.org (Mark G. Salyzyn) writes:
>>or the other. rec.radio.amateur.digital.tcp-ip will *die* if none of the
>>lists feel like migrating ...
>About six months ago, there was a discussion of whether the tcp-group
>mailing list should migrate to a Usenet newsgroup. The consensus was
>that it should NOT;

:-)

Let me rephrase that (my bluff was called :-}) maybe I should have asked
do people think that a r.r.a.d.tcp-ip group would die *if* the tcp-ip
mailing lists (I count 3 different ones, there may be more) fail to
move over? Perhaps I was a bit too dramatic :-)

Ciao -- Mark

Date: Mon, 5 Apr 93 16:24:41 GMT

From: cs.ubc.ca!unixg.ubc.ca!kakwa.ucs.ualberta.ca!alberta!adec23!

mark@beaver.cs.washington.edu

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <1993Mar25.222106.18421@gsm001.mendelson.com>, <1993Mar29.215652.25528@ve6mgs.ampr.org>, <1993Mar31.143611.5689@rock.concert.net>Z
Subject : Re: RFD: reorganization of rec.radio.amateur

cole@concert.net (Derrick C. Cole) writes:

>Get a threaded newsreader. It takes me 5 minutes to "weed through" >250
>articles and choose the threads/topics that interest me.

Get nn, takes 6 taps of the space bar and you have read *.misc :-) Ok, how many of you use a threaded news reader? How many like 'other' news readers? how many of you are stuck on a listserver with everything in one pot! How many of you long for the good old days of A-news? How many of you *with* threaded news readers are getting tired of spending 5 minutes to decide which topics in *.misc to read?

I can be condescending too :-), Ciao -- Mark

Date: 6 Apr 93 13:08:39 GMT
From: usc!howland.reston.ans.net!newsserver.jvnc.net!netnews.upenn.edu!priyat!
triangle.cs.uofs.edu!bill@network.UCSD.EDU
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <12434@news.duke.edu>, <1993Mar31.230514.13327@ke4zv.uucp>, <1993Apr2.152636.3017@rym.mro4.dec.com>
Subject : Re: 2 meter phone calls?

You had to facilitate the business of a dozen different companies just to acquire your equipment. That means all your transmissions are illegal. Would all you illegal operators please clear off!!

bill KB3YV

[OK, for the humor impaired: ;-)]

--

Bill Gunshannon | "There are no evil thoughts, Mr. Reardon" Francisco
bill@cs.uofs.edu | said softly, "except one; the refusal to think."
| #include <std.disclaimer.h>

Date: Tue, 06 Apr 1993 06:32:02 GMT
From: nevada.edu!jimi!physics.unr.edu!nimbus!mswmod@uunet.uu.net
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <1993Apr3.010600.9905@cbnewsm.cb.att.com>, <1p1679INNfs6@hp-col.col.hp.com>, <1993Apr5.144739.12511@cbnewsm.cb.att.com>
Subject : Re: Worked Him????

Seems like I am always being reminded why I think all DX qso's via DX-NETS should not count for the regular DXCC.

Also shows why (one reason) I don't like SSB.

And does anyone wonder why so many people bad mouth 6 land???

Oh well, it takes all kinds. I am proud to see so many that believe in doing things right.

Oh, before I get flamed, there are a lot of top notch operators in Calif. That place just seems to attract a lot of weird-os tho....

73s & cul,

Ron, KU7Y

Date: Mon, 5 Apr 93 16:04:45 GMT
From: cs.ubc.ca!unixg.ubc.ca!kakwa.ucs.ualberta.ca!alberta!adec23!
mark@beaver.cs.washington.edu
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <97dJ03pAceNE00@amdahl.uts.amdahl.com>, <paulf.733425834@abercrombie.Stanford.EDU>, <C4q2EI.H3D@hpuerca.atl.hp.com>
Subject : Re: RFD: reorganization of rec.radio.amateur

edh@hpuerca.atl.hp.com (Ed Humphries) writes:

>In <paulf.733425834@abercrombie.Stanford.EDU> paulf@abercrombie.Stanford.EDU (Paul Flaherty) writes:

>>The last time I did this (about a week ago), 90 postings
>>fit into one group alone, and the remaining ten were crossposted to two
>>groups. NONE of the subjects required .misc. Try it.

>Great! Three groups; exactly what we have now. Well said Paul!

This can be kin to 'baiting' Ed! I'l bite! We have three groups now, *.policy and *.packet are on their own. *.misc had discussion about 3 *other* topics, so that makes a total of five (six if you *keep* *.misc to take unwanted discussions away from *.policy :-). What makes what Paul said similar to the three current groups?

Harumph! Caio, 73 de VE6MGS/Mark

End of Info-Hams Digest V93 #422
